## **Delegated Decision Notice (DDN)**

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision		Administrative		
		Operational Decision	n Decision		
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,000	☐ below £25,000		
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	£25,000 to £100,	£25,000 to £100,000		
	over £1,000,000	£100,000 to £500	,000		
		Over £500,000			
Director <sup>1</sup>	Angela Barnicle, Chief Officer Asset Management & Regeneration, City				
	Development				
Contact person:	Chris Gosling, Project Mana	ager Telep	hone number: 07891 276 855		
Subject <sup>2</sup> :	Dragurament Stratagy for th	a provision of a nov	modular construction Highways		
Subject .	Procurement Strategy for the provision of a new modular construction Highways				
Decision	Depot facility at Pottery Fields.				
details <sup>3</sup> :	What decision has been taken? (Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in				
uetalis".	relation to exempt information, exemption from call-in etc.)				
	The Chief Officer Asset Management & Regeneration has:				
	a) Approved the completion of a formal tender exercise for the selection of a				
	construction contractor to deliver the new modular welfare and office accommodation for the Pottery Fields Highways depot utilising the Crown				
	Commercial Services 'Off-site Solutions framework'.				
	b) Approved the procurement methodology set out in section 3.0 of the report,				
	in accordance with Contract Procedure Rule 15.				
	c) Noted that this report does not constitute a financial commitment to the				
	Authority. A future 'Design Cost Report and Tender Acceptance Report' will				
	follow upon completion of the tender exercise, detailed herein, should an appropriately qualified bid be selected.				
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision				
	(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	The depot at Pottery Fields has been subject to a wider review of Highways provision				
	and has been subsequently identified as a facility that no longer meets the welfare				
	standards that the Authority would want to provide for operational staff. This				
	outcome has subsequently been supported at a senior level within the Council and				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.
<sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list <sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

the requirement to provide new accommodation at Pottery Fields, the second largest depot in the Council's portfolio, progressed.

It is proposed to replace the existing buildings with one large single storey modular building, placed adjacent to current provision to allow continuity of use during the construction phase. This new building will be optimised to maximise functionality of the floor space, bring the welfare and office provision closer together and ensure all building users have good quality and appropriate facilities for undertaking their roles. Critically, this will include modern shower facilities and a dedicated drying area for clothes and materials. Upon completion the legacy units will be demolished and removed from site. Car parking facilities will be re-lined to correlate with the new building position and pathways will be suitable protected and separated from the core traffic routes.

In accordance with Contract Procedure Rule 3.1.7, this report seeks approval to undertake a procurement exercise for the appointment of a construction contractor to deliver the new modular building at the Pottery Fields Highways depot.

The scheme is subject to a 'design & build' process, based on a control option developed by NPS Leeds Ltd. in consultation with the Highways depot team. This approach will allow for maximum flexibility and value, as the control option can easily be adapted / interpreted by the varying modular build systems.

It is proposed to undertake an open competition, single stage procurement via the Crown Commercial Services Off-site Solutions framework, as the YORhub suite does not allow for modular build options. Each bidder will be assessed on 'price' and 'quality', with scoring weight 70% to the former and 30% to the latter, and a JCT 'Design & Build Contract' will be awarded to the highest scoring tenderer.

Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision

Two alternative options were considered, both of which were discounted on grounds they could not meet the critical path programme dates and did not offer any financial or value benefit:

- 1) Utilise a different framework for procurement of the services required.
- 2) Instigate a bespoke procurement exercise.

## Affected wards:

Hunslet & Riverside

Details of	Executive Member				
consultation	The Executive Member for Sustainable Development & Infrastructure has also been				
undertaken <sup>4</sup> :	briefed on the proposal by Highways, this will continue through the design and				
	delivery stages.				
	Ward Councillors				
	Ward members from Hunslet & Riverside wards will be briefed at the appropriate				
	stage prior to submission of the planning permission application				
	Chief Digital and Information Officer <sup>5</sup>				
	Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer <sup>6</sup>				
	Others				
	Development of the proposed procurement strategy and associated requirements				
	for the new depot facility have been subject to extensive consultation with the 'end				
	user' and associated officers within Highways.				
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation				
List of	Date Added to List:-				
Forthcoming	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is				
Key Decisions <sup>7</sup>	impracticable to delay the decision				
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval				
	Signature Date				
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:				
report <sup>8</sup>	with flot possible.				
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval				
	Signature Date				
Call-in	Is the decision available <sup>9</sup> Yes  No				
	for call-in?				
	If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the				
	council or the public:				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of

digital technology

6 See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's land and buildings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only <sup>8</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call-in. Key decisions are always available for call-in unless they have been exempted from call-in under rule 5.1.3.

Approval of	Authorised decision maker <sup>10</sup>			
Decision	Angela Barnicle - Chief Officer Asset Management and Regeneration			
	Signature	Date		
	AM	14 May 2024		

 $^{10}$  Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.